Sri R. ANANTARAMAN.—Some of the students could not deposit the fees on the particular day because of this transfer. They have deposited the amount the next day. Will the Government give opportunity to those students to appear for the examination, because they were so busy and could not deposit the amount that day ?

Sri A. G. RAMACHANDRA RAO.—That will be examined.

Visit of the Backward Class Commission to Mysore.

Q.—14. Sri B. RACHIAH (Yelandur—Scheduled Castes).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) whether the Backward Class Commission visited Mysore State as State Guests or otherwise ;

(*b*) if so, what were the arrangements made and assistance given to them ;

(*c*) what is the total expense incurred by the State for their stay :

(*d*) the names of places they visited ;

(*e*) the names of Associations interviewed ?

A.—Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA (Chief Minister).—

(*a*) They arrived in the State on 30th June and were State Guests.

(*b*) Free Boarding and Lodging were provided to the members of the Commission.

(*c*) Accounts are not kept separately for each guest or group of guests.

(*d*) Bangalore, Hassan, Chitaldrug, Sirigere, Kolar, K.G.F., Tumkur, Mysore and Mandya.

(*e*) They have visited some Hostels and Sanghas ; and met the Backward Community representatives. The full information is not available with the Government.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ರಾಚಯ್ಯ.—ಬ್ಯಾಕ್‌ವರ್ಡ್ ಕ್ಲಾಸ್ ಕಮಿಷನರು ಮಾಡಿರುವ ವರದಿಯ ಪ್ರತಿಯನ್ನು ಸಭೆಗೆ ಒದಗಿಸುತ್ತೀರಾ ?

*ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಹನುಮಂತಯ್ಯ.—ಇನ್ನೂ ವರದಿಯೇ ಬಂದಿಲ್ಲ.

Sri B. NARAYANASWAMY.—Is it a fact that the members of the Commission were put up at Hotel Metropole when they visited Mysore ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—Sir, Hotel Metropole is also a Government building.

Sri B. NARAYANASWAMY.—Is it a fact that the Manager of the Hotel Metropole refused to serve Indian food to the Members, Sir ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—I have not received any complaints from the members of the Commission.

Sri B. NARAYANASWAMY.—Sir, is it not a fact that the Manager of the Hotel was discourteous to the members of the Commission ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—I have received no such complaints either oral or written.

Sri B. NARAYANASWAMY.—If you receive such complaints would you take action against the Manager ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—It will be certainly considered.

Sri B. V. NARAYANA REDDY.—Has it come to the notice of Government that the proprietor of Hotel Metropole is considered to be a very good host ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—I am glad that the Hon'ble Member has very good opinion of him, Sir.

ಶ್ರೀ ವಿ. ಆರ್. ನಾಯ್ಡು.—ಅವರು ನಮ್ಮ ನಂಸ್ಥಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರವಾಸಮಾಡಿ ಹೋದಮೇಲೆ ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಬಹಳ ಉಪಚಾರ ಮಾಡಿದರಿ ಎಂದು ಕಾಗದ ಬರೆದಿದ್ದಾರೆಯೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಹನುಮಂತಯ್ಯ.—ಹೌದು, ಬರೆದಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS.

Opening of a mutton shop outside the limits of Tarikere Municipality.

Q.—66. Sri T. C. BASAPPA (Tarikere).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :

(*a*) whether it has not come to their notice that a mutton shop has

(Sri T. C. BASAPPA.)

been permitted recently just a few feet outside the limits of Tarikere Municipality;

(*b*) if so, by whom and when it was permitted and who is the owner of the shop;

(*c*) to which village this shop belongs and whether the Village Panchayet asked for it;

(*d*) whether the Panchayet disposed this by tenders and what is the income the Panchayet gets by this shop;

(*e*) the precautions that have been taken to see that only good mutton is sold in this shop;

(*f*) whether it is not true that the owner of this shop is advertising about his shop in Tarikere Town Cinema;

(*g*) whether it is not true that Tarikere Municipality has been complaining to the Deputy Commissioner and to the Government about the unhealthy mutton that is being sold in his shop and also how its existence nearby affects its revenue;

(*h*) whether it is true that the Deputy Commissioner has passed orders for its closure;

(*i*) if so, when the order was passed by the Deputy Commissioner and why it is not given effect to till now;

(*j*) whether there were any other applicants to this shop; if so, who they were?

A.—Sri T. CHANNIAH (Minister for Public Health and Local Self-Government).—

(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) Sri Siddaramiah was licensed on 19th January 1951 and Sri T. C. Thimmiah on 2nd January 1953 by the Sub-Division Officer, Tarikere in both the cases.

(*c*) Galihalli village. The Village Panchayet did not apply for grant of licences.

(*d*) The panchayet have not disposed of the right of vending mutton. The licences have been issued by the Sub-Division Officer. The Village Panchayet gets the licence fee and the ground rent.

(*e*) The conditions of the licences stipulate that only good mutton should be sold at reasonable rates.

(*f*) Government are not aware of this fact.

(*g*) Yes.

(*h*) Yes.

(*i*) On 1st June 1953.

On a petition before them in the matter, the Government had stayed the further proceedings in the case pending re-examination of the matter. Orders have recently been passed confirming the order of the Deputy Commissioner.

(*j*) There were no other applicants.

Sri T. C. BASAPPA.—Will the Government be pleased to state under what section is the Sub-Division Officer authorised to issue a licence?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—The Sub-Division Officer has issued the licence under the Village Panchayet's and District Board's Act of 1951.

Sri T. C. BASAPPA.—Under Section 23 of the Village Panchayet's Act, is it not the Village Panchayet only the authority to issue licence?

Sri T. CHANNIAH.—No.

Sri T. C. BASAPPA.—Will the Government be pleased to give the relevant section under which the Sub-Division Officer has issued the licence?

Mr. SPEAKER.—What is the object of your question?

Sri T. C. BASAPPA.—The point is that the Sub-Division Officer who is not empowered to issue . . . .

Mr. SPEAKER.—There is the Deputy Commissioner and the Revenue Commissioner above him. Moreover, that order has been set aside and your object has been gained. What is the use of further questions?